BEFORE THE NATIONAL ANTI-PROFITEERING AUTHORITY UNDER THE CENTRAL GOODS & SERVICES TAX ACT, 2017

Case No. : 51/2020

Date of Institution : 02.04.2019

Date of Order : 24.08.2020

In the matter of:

- Sh. Pranesh Pathak, Commissioner of CGST, Delhi South Commissionerate, 3rd Floor, EIL Annexe Building, Bhikaji Kama Place, New Delhi- 110 066.
- Director General of Anti-Profiteering, Central Board of Indirect Taxes
 & Customs, 2nd Floor, Bhai Vir Singh Sahitya Sadan, Bhai Vir Singh
 Marg, Gole Market, New Delhi-110001.

Applicants

Versus

M/s Bhutani International Medicos, 38-S & 39-S, Safdarjung Hospital, Gate No. 2, Opposite AIIMS, New Delhi- 110 029.

Respondent

Quorum:-

- 1. Dr. B. N. Sharma, Chairman
- 2. Sh. J. C. Chauhan, Technical Member
- 3. Sh. Amand Shah, Technical Member

Am. 8

Present:-

- 1. None for the Applicants.
- 2. None for the Respondent.

ORDER

- 1. The brief facts of the present case are that the Applicant No. 2 (herein-after referred to as the DGAP) vide his Report dated 29.03.2019, furnished to this Authority under Rule 129 (6) of the Central Goods & Services Tax (CGST) Rules, 2017, had submitted that he had conducted an investigation on the complaint of the Applicant No. 1 and found that the Respondent had not passed on the benefit of reduction in the rate of GST from 12% to Nil in respect of supply of "Sanitary Napkins" with effect from 27.07.2018, as per the provisions of Section 171 (1) of the CGST Act, 2017. Vide his above Report the DGAP had also submitted that the Respondent had denied the benefit of ITC to the above buyers amounting to Rs. 5,283/-, pertaining to the period w.e.f. 27.07.2018 to 30.09.2018 and had thus indulged in profiteering and violation of the provisions of Section 171 (1) of the above Act.
- 2. This Authority after careful consideration of the Report dated 29.03.2019 had issued notice dated 04.04.2019 to the Respondent to show cause why the Report furnished by the DGAP should not be accepted and his liability for violation of the provisions of Section 171 (2. VS-2020)
 Pathak Vs. M/s Bhutani International Medicos

 Page 2 of 5

Case No. 51/2020 Pranesh Pathak Vs. M/s Bhutani International Medicos

- (1) should not be fixed. After hearing the concerned parties at length this Authority vide its Order No. 41/2019 dated 26.06.2019 had determined the profiteered amount as Rs. 5,283/- as per the provisions of Section 171 (2) of the above Act read with Rule 133 (1) of the CGST Rules, 2017 pertaining to the period from 27.07.2018 to 30.09.2018 and also held the Respondent in violation of the provisions of Section 171 (1).
- 3. It was also held that the Respondent had denied the benefit of reduction in the tax rate by not reducing the prices of the product commensurately and had also compelled the buyers to pay more price and the GST on the additional amount realised from them between the period from 27.07.2018 to 30.09.2018 and therefore, he had apparently committed an offence under Section 122 (1) (i) of the CGST Act, 2017 and hence, he was liable for imposition of penalty under the provisions of the above Section.
- The Respondent was issued notice dated 03.07.2019 asking him to explain why the penalty mentioned in Section 122 read with Rule 133
 (3) (d) should not be imposed on him.
- 5. The Respondent vide his submissions dated 24.09.2019 has stated that the penal provisions under Section 122 of the Act read with Rule 133 (3) (d) of the CGST Rules, 2017 should not be invoked and penalty should not be imposed on him as he had accepted and paid the amount which had been determined by this Authority. He has also submitted proof of payment of the profiteered amount in the Consumer Welfare Funds as directed by this Authority vide its Order No. 41/2019. He has inter-alia also made a number of submissions.

for non-imposition of penalty. The main submission he has made is that penalty should only be imposed when there is mens rea and deliberate attempt to violate the provisions of law and as he has complied with this Authority's Order, it depicted his bonafide intentions.

- 6. We have carefully considered the submissions of the Respondent and all the material placed before us and it has been revealed that the Respondent has not passed on the benefit of reduction in GST rate from 12% to Nil on the above product w.e.f. 27.07.2018 to 30.09.2018 and hence, the Respondent has violated the provisions of Section 171 (1) of the CGST Act, 2017.
- 7. It is also revealed from the perusal of the CGST Act and the Rules framed under it that no penalty had been prescribed for violation of the provisions of Section 171 (1) of the above Act, therefore, the Respondent was issued show cause notice to state why penalty should not be imposed on him for violation of the above provisions as per Section 122 (1) (i) of the above Act as he had apparently incorrect or false invoices while charging issued excess consideration and GST from the buyers. However, from the perusal of Section 122 (1) (i) it is clear that the violation of the provisions of Section 171 (1) is not covered under it as it does not provide penalty for not passing on the benefits of tax reduction and ITC and hence the above penalty cannot be imposed for violation of the antiprofiteering provisions made under Section 171 of the above Act.
- 8. It is further revealed that vide Section 112 of the Finance Act, 2019 specific penalty provisions have been added for violation of the

- provisions of Section 171 (1) which have come in to force w.e.f. 01.01.2020, by inserting Section 171 (3A).
- Since, no penalty provisions were in existence between the period 9. w.e.f. 27.07.2018 to 31.03.2018 when the Respondent had violated the provisions of Section 171 (1), the penalty prescribed under 171 (3A) cannot be imposed on the Respondent Section retrospectively. Accordingly, the notice dated 03.07.2019 issued to the Respondent for imposition of penalty under Section 122 (1) (i) is hereby withdrawn and the present penalty proceedings launched against him are accordingly dropped.
- 10. Copy of this order be supplied to both the parties. File be consigned after completion.



Sd/-(Dr. B.N. Sharma) Chairman

Sd/-(J.C. Chauhan) **Technical Member**

Sd/-(Amand Shah) **Technical Member**

0/6

(A. K. Goel) Secretary, NAA

Certified Copy

F. No. 22011/NAA/23/Bhutani/2019 \ 4210 - 4213

Date: 24.08.2019

Copy To:-

1. M/s Bhutani International Medicos, 38-S & 39-S, Safdarjung Hospital, Gate No. 2, Opposite AIIMS, New Delhi- 110 029.

2. Shri Pranesh Pathak, Commissioner of CGST, Delhi South Commissionerate, 3rd Floor, EIL Annexe Building, Bhikaji Kama Place, New Delhi- 110 066.

3. Director General Anti-Profiteering, Central Board of Indirect Taxes & Customs, ^{2nd} Floor, Bhai Vir Singh Sahitya Sadan, Bhai Vir Singh Marg, Gole Market, New Delhi-110001.

NAA Website/Guard File.

Case No. 51/2020

Pranesh Pathak Vs. M/s Bhutani International Medicos

Page 5 of 5